

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/080,476	02/22/2002	Graeme John Proudler	B-4515 619561-7	8509	
7590 01/24/2008 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			TRUONG, THANHNGA B		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2135		
		•			
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/24/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

				/			
,		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/080,476	PROUDLER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
_		Thanhnga B. Truong	2135				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status	•						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/30	<u>0/08</u> .					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>11-19</u> is/are rejected.			•			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r clastian requirement					
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		*			
Applicat	ion Papers		•				
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	raminer. Note the attached Office	e Action of form P10-152.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
* (	application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ad .				
•	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive					
Attachmer	nt(s)	•					
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	FF				
			<del></del>				

Art Unit: 2135

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The applicant's amendment filed October 30, 2007 has been fully considered. Claims 11-19 are pending. At this time claims 11-19 are still rejected.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed October 30, 2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 11-19 under 35 USC § 103 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. However, upon an in-depth reviewed and further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Reiger III (US 6,654,800). Thus, the previous rejection has been withdrawn.

The fact that Examiner may not have specifically responded to any particular arguments made by Applicant and Applicant's Representative should not be construed as indicating Examiner's agreement therewith.

#### Election/Restrictions

3. Applicant's election with traverse of **Species 2** in the reply filed on May 17, 2007 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-10 are withdrawn by the applicant from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species 2 and species 3. Election was made with traverse in the reply filed on December 11, 2006. This is not found persuasive because each of the various disclosed species details a mutual exclusive characteristic of:

Species 1 is drawn to "An information system comprising an information access point relating to at least one pre-determined geographical area, said information access point including apparatus for retrieving information relating to computing platforms located within said pre-determined geographical area, together with security attributes of said computing platforms, said information system being arranged to provide said information to a user upon request."

Species 2 is drawn to "An information system comprising an information access point relating to at least one pre-determined geographical area, said information access point including apparatus for retrieving information relating to <u>trusted</u> computing

Application/Control Number:

10/080,476 Art Unit: 2135

platforms located within said pre-determined geographical area, said information system being arranged to provide said information to a user upon request."

These above individual species act as evidenced by the representation of each various species with a different figure or set of figures.

A search for one of these mutually exclusive characteristics is not coextensive with a search for the other mutually exclusive characteristics and therefore searching for all mutually exclusive characteristics could not be done without serious burden. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

4. The information disclosure statement (IDS) filed on July 02, 2007 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meadows et al (US 6,716,101 B1), and further in view of Rieger III (US 6,654,800).

#### a. Referring to claim 11:

- Meadows teaches an information system comprising:
- (1) an information access point relating to at least one pre-determined geographical area, said information access point including apparatus for retrieving information relating to trusted computing platforms located within said pre-determined geographical area, said information system being arranged to provide said information to a user upon request (column 2, lines 3-28 and column 5, lines 13-29 of Meadows).

Application/Control Number:

10/080,476 Art Unit: 2135

- ii. Although Meadow teaches an information system using access point with related pre-determined geographical area for network communication via authorized user/client, Meadow is silent on the capability of communicating with trusted computing platform (e.g., system using password and authorized region). On the other hand, Rieger teaches this limitation in **column 5**, **line 60 through column 6**, **line 16 of Rieger**.
- iii. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to
- (1) have modified the invention of Meadow with the teaching of Rieger for monitoring geographical location and movement (column 1, line 11 of Meadow).
  - iv. The ordinary skilled person would have been motivated to:
- (1) have modified the invention of Meadow with the teaching of Rieger for providing the location information to an authorized user through the world wide web (column 2, lines 4-6 of Meadow).

## b. Referring to claim 12:

- i. The combination of teaching between Meadows and Rieger teaches the claimed subject matter. Meadows further teaches:
- (1) wherein said information system is arranged to provide as said information only details and/or a list of public keys (e.g., access codes) of genuine trusted computing platforms within said pre-determined geographical area (column 5, lines 13-29 of Meadows)].

## c. Referring to claim 13:

- i. The combination of teaching between Meadows and Rieger teaches the claimed subject matter. Rieger further teaches:
- (1) wherein said information access point comprises a trusted computing platform (column 5, line 60 through column 6, line 16 of Rieger).

### d. Referring to claim 14:

Application/Control Number:

10/080,476 Art Unit: 2135

- i. The combination of teaching between Meadows and Rieger teaches the claimed subject matter. Meadow further teaches:
- (1) comprising apparatus for communicating or interacting with a user's portable computing apparatus (column 5, lines 30-47 of Meadows).

## e. Referring to claim 15:

- i. The combination of teaching between Meadows and Rieger teaches the claimed subject matter. Meadows further teaches:
- (1) wherein said apparatus for communicating or interacting a user's portable computing apparatus is arranged to perform said communication or interaction by physical contact or directional wireless communication (column 5, lines 30-47 of Meadows).

## f. Referring to claims 16-17:

- i. The combination of teaching between Meadows and Rieger teaches the claimed subject matter. Meadows further teaches:
- (1) incorporating or accompanied by a declaration concerning the trustworthiness of the system; wherein said declaration is capable of interpretation by a user without preprocessing by an information processing system [i.e., Figures 4 and 5 shows the graphical user interface for monitoring system for monitoring the four adolescent children and the three individuals (column 5, lines 48-67 and column 6, lines 1-36 of Meadows)].

#### g. Referring to claim 18:

- i. The combination of teaching between Meadows and Rieger teaches the claimed subject matter. Rieger further teaches:
- (1) arranged to verify the identity of a user (column 5, line 60 through column 6, line 16 of Rieger).

#### h. Referring to claim 19:

i. This claim has limitations that is similar to those of claim 15, thus it is rejected with the same rationale applied against claim 15 above.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanhnga (Tanya) Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-3858.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached at 571-272-3859. The fax and phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

**TBT** 

January 21, 2008

THANHNGA TRUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER